

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/484,667	01/18/2000	D. Amnon Silverstein	10982103-1	9949
22879	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			HANNETT, JAMES M	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/484,667	SILVERSTEIN, D. AMNON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James M. Hannett	2622		

	James W. Harmett	2022	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider or compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		de la des Castasianos.	dele e de la
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropo riginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Al-2	-4 b 4 4
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13.  Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations added to the independent claims requires further search and consideration.

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600